

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/542,959                     | 07/21/2005     | Bunya Sato           | 450100-04905        | 2061             |
| William S Fro                  | 7590 06/02/201 | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| Frommer Law                    | rence & Haug   | RHEE, JANE J         |                     |                  |
| 745 Fifth Aver<br>New York, NY |                |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                                |                |                      | 1795                |                  |
|                                |                |                      |                     |                  |
|                                |                |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                                |                |                      | 06/02/2010          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/542,959      | SATO, BUNYA  |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |  |
| JANE RHEE       | 1795         |  |  |

| JAN  | NE RHEE  | 1795  |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of  | on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add   | ress  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 May 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sapplication, application and timely file one of the following replication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (w for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR aperiods:</li> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviso</li> </ol>  | es: (1) an amendment, affidavit<br>with appeal fee) in compliance v<br>1.114. The reply must be filed v<br>e of the final rejection. | , or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or<br>vithin one of the follow | hich places the<br>(3) a Request<br>ving time |  |  |  |  |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). OI<br>MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).   |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortes for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL   | on and the corresponding amount or<br>ened statutory period for reply origin   | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Office                  | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as      |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complianc<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the</li> </ol>   | thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the  |   |  |  |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS   |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but proposed (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for the proposed filed the proposed filed f</li></ol> | eration and/or search (see NOT   | E below);   |   |  |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corre-  NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 ar  |  | cted claims.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. S   |  | npliant Amendment (f  | PTOL-324).                                    |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).  | ble if submitted in a separate, ti   | •   | _   |  |  |  |  |
| 7. \( \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \) whow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  |  | be entered and an ex  | cplanation of                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  | C   | La col d                                      |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suff was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a No<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overco<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and</li> </ol>   | ome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>I was not earlier presented. Se   | l and/or appellant fails<br>e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)                            | s to provide a                                |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of t<br/>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>  | the status of the claims after en  | try is below or attache   | ed.   |  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but doe  | es NOT place the application in  | condition for allowand  | ce because:                                   |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | /Jane Rhee/<br>Primary Examiner, Art U   | nit 1795  |   |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment in claim 1 raises new issue that would require further consideration. Due to the proposed amendment not being entered, applicant's argument are not commesurate in scope with the current claims.